DANIEL E. LUNGREN, Attorney General of the State of California SAMUEL K. HAMMOND, Deputy Attorney General, State Bar No. 141135 3 Department of Justice 110 West A Street, Suite 1100 Post Office Box 85266 San Diego, California 92186-5266 5 Telephone: (619) 645-2083 Attorneys for Complainant 6 7 BEFORE THE 8 **BOARD OF ACCOUNTANCY** 9 DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA 10 NO. AC-95-811 In the Matter of the Accusation) Against: 12 DEFAULT DECISION MARTIN L. WANDERS 13 2250 North Broadway Escondido, CA 92126 [Gov. Code §11520] 14 Certificate No. 15640 15 Respondent. 16 17 FINDINGS OF FACT On or about December 21, 1994, Complainant Carol B. 18 Sigmann, in her official capacity as Executive Officer of the Board 19 of Accountancy, Department of Consumer Affairs, State of California 20 ("Board"), filed Accusation No. AC-95-8 against Martin L. Wanders 21 22 ("respondent"). On or about January 5, 1995, Janet M. Buna, an 23 2. employee of the Office of the Attorney General, sent by certified 24 mail a copy of Accusation No. AC-95-8, Statement to Respondent, 25 Government Code sections 11507.5, 11507.6, and 11507.7, the Notice 26 of Defense form, and a Request for Discovery, to respondent's

address of record with the Board which was and is 2250 North Broadway, Escondido, CA 92126. On or about January 26, 1995, the aforementioned documents were returned to the Office of the Attorney General marked "Unclaimed" by the U.S. Postal Service. The above-described service was effective as a matter of law pursuant to the provisions of California Government Code section 11505, subdivision (c).

- 3. On or about August 7, 1970, the Board issued Certificate No. 15640 to respondent. The certificate expired on September 1, 1991, and has not been renewed.
- 4. California Business and Professions Code section 118 provides, in pertinent part:
  - "(b) The suspension, expiration, or forfeiture by operation of law of a license issued by a board in the department, or its suspension, forfeiture, or cancellation by order of the board or by order of a court of law, or its surrender without the written consent of the board, shall not, during any period in which it may be renewed, restored, reissued, or reinstated, deprive the board of its authority to institute or continue a disciplinary proceeding against the licensee upon any ground provided by law or to enter an order suspending or revoking the license or otherwise taking disciplinary action against the license on any such ground."
- 5. California Government Code section 11506 provides, in pertinent part:
  - "(b) The respondent shall be entitled to a hearing on the merit if he files a notice of defense, and any such notice

shall be deemed a specific denial of all parts of the accusation not expressly admitted. Failure to file such notice shall constitute a waiver of respondent's right to a hearing, but the agency in its discretion may nevertheless grant a hearing. ..."

- 6. Respondent failed to file a Notice of Defense within 15 days after service upon him of the Accusation and therefore waived his right to a hearing on the merits of Accusation No. AC-95-8.
- 7. California Government Code section 11520 provides, in pertinent part:
  - "(a) If the respondent fails to file a notice of defense or to appear at the hearing, the agency may take action based upon the respondent's express admissions or upon other evidence and affidavits may be used as evidence without any notice to respondent; ..."
- 8. The Board of Accountancy, Department of Consumer Affairs, State of California is authorized to revoke respondent's certificate pursuant to the following provisions of the California Business and Professions Code:
  - a. Section 5100 provides that the Board may revoke, suspend or refuse to renew any permit or certificate issued by the Board, or may censure the holder of any such permit or certificate.
  - b. Section 5107 provides, in part, that the Board may request the administrative law judge, as part of the proposed decision in a disciplinary proceeding, to direct any holder of

a permit or certificate found in violation of section 5100 (a), (b), (c), (h), (i) or (j), to pay to the Board all reasonable costs of investigation and prosecution of the case, including, but not limited to, attorney's fees.

9. Pursuant to its authority under Government Code section 11520, and based on the evidence before it, the Board finds that the allegations, and each of them, contained in the Accusation No. AC-95-8 are true.

# DETERMINATION OF ISSUES

1. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to section 5100 of the California Business and Professions Code by reason of the Finding of Facts numbers 1 through 9, above.

### ORDER OF THE BOARD

Certificate No. 15640 heretofore issued to respondent Martin L. Wanders, is hereby revoked. An effective date of August 6, 1995, has been assigned to this Order.

17 | \\\

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18 | \\\

19 \\\

20 \\\

21 | \\\

22 \\\\

23 | \\\

24 | \\\

25 | \\\

26 | \\\

27 \\\

Pursuant to California Government Code section 11520, subdivision (b), respondent is entitled to make any showing by way of mitigation; however, such showing must be made in writing to the Board of Accountancy, 2000 Evergreen Street, Ste 250, Sacramento, California 95815, prior to the effective date of this decision.

Made this 6th day of July , 1995.

FOR THE BOARD OF ACCOUNTANCY

SKH: jmb

1 2	DANIEL E. LUNGREN, Attorney General of the State of California SAMUEL K. HAMMOND Deputy Attorney General, State Bar No. 141135 Department of Justice 110 West A Street, Suite 1100 Post Office Box 85266 San Diego, California 92186-5266 Telephone: (619) 645-2083	
3		
4		
5		
6	Attorneys for Complainant	
7		
8	BEFORE THE BOARD OF ACCOUNTANCY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
9		
10	STATE OF CALIFO	
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	NO. AC-95-8
12	MARTIN L. WANDERS	) ) ) ACCUSATION
13	2250 North Broadway Escondido, CA 92026	) )
14	Certificate No. 15640	) )
15	Respondent.	) )
16		
17	Complainant Carol B. Sigmann, as cause for disciplinary	
18	action, alleges:	
19	PARTIES	
20	1. Complainant is the Executive Officer of the	
21	California State Board of Accountancy ("Board") and makes and	
22	files this accusation solely in her official capacity.	
23	<u>License Status</u>	
24	2. On or about August 7, 1970, the Board issued	
25	Certificate No. 15640 (Certified Public Accountant) to Martin L.	
26	Wanders ("respondent"). The certificate expired on September 1,	
27	1991, and has not been renewed.	

#### JURISDICTION

- 3. This accusation is made in reference to the following statutes of the California Business and Professions Code ("Code"):
  - a. <u>Section 5100</u> provides, in part, that the Board may revoke, suspend or refuse to renew any permit or certificate issued by the Board, or may censure the holder of any such permit or certificate for unprofessional conduct.
  - b. <u>Section 5107</u> provides, in part, that the Executive Officer of the Board may request the administrative law judge, as part of the proposed decision in a disciplinary proceeding, to direct any holder of a permit or certificate found to be in violation of section 5100(c) to pay to the Board all reasonable costs of investigation and prosecution of the case, including, but not limited to, attorneys' fees. The Board shall not recover costs incurred at the administrative hearing.
  - c. <u>Section 5100(c)</u> provides, in part, that unprofessional conduct includes, but is not limited to, dishonesty, fraud or gross negligence in the practice of public accountancy.
  - d. <u>Section 5100(f)</u> provides that unprofessional conduct includes, but is not limited to, "[w]illful violation of this chapter or any rule or regulation promulgated by the board under the authority granted under this chapter."

e. <u>Section 5100(h)</u> provides that unprofessional conduct includes, but is not limited to, fiscal dishonesty or breach of fiduciary responsibility of any kind.

- f. <u>Section 5037(b)</u> provides, in part, that a licensee shall furnish to his client or former client, upon request and reasonable notice:
  - "(1) A copy of the licensee's working papers, to the extent that those working papers include records that would ordinarily constitute part of the client's records and are not otherwise available to the client.
  - (2) Any accounting or other records belonging to, or obtained from or on behalf of, the client which the licensee removed from the client's premises or received for the client's account. The licensee may make and retain copies of documents of the client when they form the basis for work done by him or her."
- g. <u>Section 5050</u> provides, in part, that no person shall engage in the practice of public accountancy in California without a valid permit to practice public accountancy issued by the Board.
- h. <u>Section 5055</u> provides, in part, that only a person who holds a valid permit to practice as a certified public accountant issued by the Board is permitted to use the titles "C.P.A." or "certified public accountant."
- i. <u>Section 5070.6</u> provides, in part, that an expired permit may be renewed at any time within five years after its expiration.

1.3

- j. Section 118(b) provides that the "suspension, expiration, or forfeiture by operation of law of a license issued by a board in the department, or its suspension, forfeiture, or cancellation by order of the board or by order of a court of law, or its surrender without the written consent of the board, shall not, during any period in which it may be renewed, restored, reissued, or reinstated, deprive the board of its authority to institute or continue a disciplinary proceeding against the licensee upon any ground provided by law or to enter an order suspending or revoking the licensee or otherwise taking disciplinary action against the licensee on any such ground."
- 4. This accusation is made in reference to the following regulations of the California Code of Regulations ("CCR"), title 16:

## a. Section 68 provides that:

"A licensee of the board, after demand by or on behalf of a client, for books, records or other data, whether in written or machine sensible form, that are the client's records shall not retain such records. Unpaid fees do not constitute justification for retention of client records.

Although, in general the accountant's working papers are the property of the licensee, if such working papers include records which would ordinarily constitute part of the client's books and records and

are not otherwise available to the client, then the information on those working papers must be treated the same as if it were part of the client's books and records."

- b. <u>Section 87</u> provides, in part, that a licensee shall not engage in public practice unless the licensee has complied with the Board's continuing education requirements.
- c. <u>Section 94</u> provides, in part, that failure to comply with continuing education rules constitutes cause for discipline under Code section 5100.

### CHARGES AND ALLEGATIONS

- 5. Respondent Martin L. Wanders is subject to disciplinary action based on the following:
  - a. On or about September 1, 1991, respondent's certificate number 15640 expired for failure by respondent to pay renewal fees or comply with continuing education requirements. Thereafter, until at least in or about 1993, respondent continued to hold himself out and practice as an accountant despite the fact that his certificate had expired.
  - b. In or about 1993, respondent contracted to prepare income tax returns for Clark and Sharon Quisenberry for 1992. To facilitate respondent's work, the clients gave respondent original documents and tax records for 1992. Respondent failed to prepare the income tax returns and failed to return the original records to the clients.

1.7

- c. In or about 1993, respondent contracted to prepare income tax returns for Stephen and Brenda Eckburg for 1992. To facilitate respondent's work, the clients gave respondent original documents and tax records for 1992. Respondent failed to prepare the income tax returns and failed to return the original records to the clients.
- d. In or about 1993, respondent failed to return original records belonging to Mary J. Merryman, the George H. Merryman Trust, and the Mary J. Merryman Living Trust, and abandoned work he was performing for or on behalf of those clients.
- e. In or about 1993, respondent abandoned work he was performing for client Cynthia H. Hoefle and failed to return the client's original records.
- f. In or about 1993, respondent abandoned work he was performing for client Ernest J. Allen and failed to return the client's original records.
- g. In or about March 1994, respondent abandoned client files in his office when he was evicted from his office for non-payment of rent. Thereafter, in or about April 1994, respondent abandoned client files contained in a storage facility.
- h. In or about 1993 or 1994, respondent abandoned his accounting practice, leaving the work he was performing for many clients incomplete and failing to return those clients' original records.

1///

6. Respondent's conduct, as more particularly set forth in paragraphs 5(b) - (h) above, constituted gross negligence in violation of Code section 5100(c) in that respondent abandoned his accounting practice without completing work he had contracted to perform for clients.

- 7. Respondent's conduct, as more particularly set forth in paragraphs 5(b) (h) above, constituted breach of fiduciary duty in violation of Code section 5100(h) in that respondent abandoned his accounting practice without completing work he had contracted to perform for clients and failed to return his clients' records, despite numerous requests.
- 8. Respondent's conduct, as more particularly set forth in paragraphs 5(b) (h) above, violated Code sections 5037(b), 5100(f) and CCR section 68 in that respondent failed to return clients' original records after requests by or on behalf of the clients.
- 9. Respondent's conduct, as more particularly set forth in paragraph 5(a) above, violated Code sections 5050 and 5055 in that respondent continued to hold himself out and practice as a Certified Public Accountant after his certificate had expired.
- 10. Respondent's conduct, as more particularly set forth in paragraph 5(a) above, violated Code sections 5100(f) and CCR sections 87 and 94 in that respondent continued to practice accounting despite the fact that he had not complied with continuing education requirements.
- 11. Respondent's conduct, as more particularly set forth in paragraphs 5(a) (h) above, constituted unprofessional

conduct in violation of Code section 5100 in that respondent abandoned his accounting practice without completing work he had contracted to perform for clients and failed to return his clients' records, despite numerous requests. 4 5 PRAYER 6 WHEREFORE, complainant requests that the Board hold a 7 hearing on the matters alleged herein, and that following said 8 hearing, the Board issue a decision: 1. Revoking or suspending Certificate Number 15640, 10 heretofore issued to respondent; 11 2. Directing respondent to pay to the Board a 12 reasonable sum for its investigative and 13 enforcement costs of this action; and 14 3. Taking such other and further action as the Board 15 deems appropriate to protect the public health, 16 safety and welfare. 17 December 2 18 19 20 21 В. Sigmann 22 Executive Officer Board of Accountancy 23 Department of Consumer Affairs State of California 24 Complainant 25 03541110-SD94AD0527 26